

Math 565: Functional Analysis

Lecture 24

In fact, the last class of examples are all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(X, \mu)$, and the proof is left as **HW**.

Theorem. Let (X, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then a bdd op. T on $L^2(X, \mu)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt $\Leftrightarrow T = T_K$ for some $K \in L^2(X \times X, \mu \times \mu)$.

Spectral theory of compact operators.

Throughout, let H denote an arbitrary Hilbert space.

Def. A bdd operator $T \in B(H)$ is called **self-adjoint** if $T = T^*$.

Examples. (a) Orthogonal projections on closed subspaces of H are self-adjoint.

(b) If T is self-adjoint and $p \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ then $p(T)$ is self-adjoint.

(c) Recall that if $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ON basis for H and $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ for some $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I} \in \ell^\infty(I)$, then the operator $T: H \rightarrow H$ defined by $T e_i := \lambda_i e_i$ is compact. Its adjoint is given by $T^* e_i := \bar{\lambda}_i e_i$, which is also compact. Thus, T is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i \in I$.

(d) For a measure space (X, μ) and $\varphi \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$, we define the **multiplication operator** $M_\varphi: L^2(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(X, \mu)$ associated to φ by $M_\varphi f := \varphi \cdot f$. Then $\|M_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_\infty$ and $M_\varphi^* = M_{\bar{\varphi}}$. Thus, M_φ is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$ is real-valued.

Def. For a Banach space X and $T \in B(X)$, the **point spectrum** of T is the set

$$\sigma_p(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ker(T - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}\},$$

i.e. $\sigma_p(T)$ is the set of eigenvalues. For $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, its **eigenspace** is $E_\lambda := \ker(T - \lambda I)$.

Def. Let $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of H . The direct sum of these subspaces is the subspace:

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i := \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} x_i : x_i \in H_i \forall i \in I \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} x_i \text{ converges in } H \right\} = \bigcup_{\substack{I_0 \subseteq I \\ \text{finite}}} \bigoplus_{i \in I_0} H_i.$$

Then the analog of Parseval's identity holds: for each $x \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$, $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i \in I} \|\text{proj}_{H_i} x\|^2$.

Spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators. Every compact self-adjoint $T \in B(H)$ admits an ON basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ of eigenvectors; equivalently,

$$H = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)} E_\lambda.$$

Furthermore, $\dim(E_\lambda) < \infty$ and $\{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T) : |\lambda| \geq \epsilon\}$ is finite $\forall \epsilon > 0$.

To prove this, we need to record some properties of self-adjoint operators. Observe that for any linear $T: H \rightarrow H$, we have

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\|=1} |\langle Tx, y \rangle|. \quad (\dagger)$$

Indeed, $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{\|y\|=1} |\langle Tx, y \rangle|$.

Properties of self-adjoint operators. Let $T \in B(H)$ be self-adjoint. Then:

(a) $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|$.

(b) If $W \subseteq H$ is T -invariant (i.e. $T(W) \subseteq W$) then W^\perp is also T -invariant.

(c) If $W \subseteq H$ is T -invariant and closed then $(T|_W)^* = (T^*|_W)$, hence $T|_W$ is self-adjoint.

(d) $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \forall x \in H$. In particular, $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

(e) If $\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1$ are in $\sigma_p(T)$, then $E_{\lambda_0} \perp E_{\lambda_1}$.

Proof. (b) If $w \in W$ and $w_\perp \in W^\perp$, then $\langle w, Tw_\perp \rangle = \langle w, T^* w_\perp \rangle = \langle Tw, w_\perp \rangle = 0$ hence

$Tw \in W$, hence $Tw_2 \in W^\perp$.

(c) Observe that $T^*|_W$ satisfies the adjoint identity, so $(T|_W)^* = (T^*|_W) = T|_W$.

(d) $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, T^*x \rangle = \langle x, Tx \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, x \rangle}$, so $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$. If $Tx = \lambda x$ for some $0 \neq x \in H$, then $\lambda \|x\|^2 = \lambda \langle x, x \rangle = \langle \lambda x, x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

(e) Let $x_i \in E_{\lambda_i}$, $i=0,1$. Then $\lambda_0 \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle = \langle \lambda_0 x_0, x_1 \rangle = \langle Tx_0, x_1 \rangle = \langle x_0, Tx_1 \rangle = \langle x_0, \lambda_1 x_1 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$, so $(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1) \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle = 0$ hence $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle = 0$.

(a) By (*) above, $\alpha := \sup \{ |\langle Tx, x \rangle| : \|x\| = 1 \} \leq \|T\|$ and we show that $|\langle Tx, y \rangle| \leq \alpha \forall \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$. Fix $x, y \in H$ with $\|x\|, \|y\| = 1$. By multiplying x with a modulus 1 complex number, we may assume $\langle Tx, y \rangle \geq 0$. We aim to express $\langle Tx, y \rangle$ via terms $\langle Tv, v \rangle$.

$$(i) \langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle Ty, y \rangle + \langle Tx, y \rangle + \langle Ty, x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle Ty, y \rangle + 2\langle Tx, y \rangle$$

$$(ii) \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle + \langle Ty, y \rangle - 2\langle Tx, y \rangle.$$

Subtracting (i)-(ii), we get

$$4\langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle \leq \alpha (\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) = \alpha (2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2) = 4\alpha,$$

hence $\langle Tx, y \rangle \leq \alpha$. □

Obs. For any Banach space X and $T \in B(X)$, if $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ then $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$.

Proof. If $x \in E_\lambda$ then $\|Tx\| = \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$, so $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$. □

Main Lemma. Every compact self-adjoint operator $T \in B(H)$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda = \pm \|T\|$.

Proof. Since $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Tx, x \rangle|$, $\exists (x_n) \in H$ of unit vectors s.t. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle| = \|T\|$.

By switching to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle = \lambda$ and $|\lambda| = \|T\|$.

By the compactness of T , (Tx_n) has a convergent subsequence, so switching to it, we

may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = y \in H$. May assume $T \neq 0$, so $\lambda = \pm \|T\| \neq 0$. We verify

that $x := \lambda^{-1}y$ is an eigenvector. Note:

$$\|Tx_n - \lambda x_n\|^2 = \langle Tx_n - \lambda x_n, Tx_n - \lambda x_n \rangle = \|Tx_n\|^2 + |\lambda|^2 \|x_n\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle$$

by self-adjointness of T . Thus,

$$\|Tx_n - \lambda x_n\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 + \|T\|^2 - 2\lambda \langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 2\|T\|^2 - 2\lambda^2 = 0, \text{ since } \lambda x_n \rightarrow y, \text{ i.e.}$$

$x_n \rightarrow x$. By the continuity of T , then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$ but also $Tx_n \rightarrow y = \lambda x$, so $Tx = \lambda x$. Also $x \neq 0$ hence $\langle Tx_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda \neq 0$ and also $\lambda = \langle Tx, x \rangle$. \square

Proof of spectral theorem for compact self-adjoint operators. By Zorn's lemma, let $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a maximal ON family of eigenvectors of T . Let $W := \overline{\text{span}} \{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. Note that W is T -invariant hence W^\perp is T -invariant and $T|_{W^\perp}$ is still self-adjoint, so if $W^\perp \neq 0$ then $T|_{W^\perp}$ would have an eigenvector (with eigenvalue $\lambda = \pm \|T|_{W^\perp}\|$), contradicting the maximality of $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. \square

Def. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called **normal** if $TT^* = T^*T$.

Example. (a) Self-adjoint operators are normal.

(b) If T is normal and $p \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, then $p(T)$ is normal.

(c) If T is unitary, then T is normal because $T^* = T^{-1}$.

Decomposition into self-adjoints. For any $T \in B(H)$ there are unique self-adjoint $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$ with $T = T_1 + iT_2$; in fact, $T_1 := \frac{1}{2}(T + T^*)$ and $T_2 := \frac{1}{2i}(T - T^*)$.

In particular, T is normal $\Leftrightarrow T_1$ and T_2 commute.

Proof. That T_1, T_2 as given in the statement are self-adjoint and $T = T_1 + iT_2$ is apparent, and the characterization of normality is a straightforward verification. To prove the uniqueness of such a decomposition, suppose $T = T'_1 + iT'_2$ is another such decomposition. Then

$$0 = T - T = T_1 - T'_1 + i(T_2 - T'_2)$$

$$0 = T^* - T^* = T_1 - T'_1 - i(T_2 - T'_2)$$

and adding these two equalities gives $T_1 = T'_1$, while subtracting gives $T_2 = T'_2$. \square